Primitive and geometric-progression-free sets without large gaps

by

NATHAN McNew (Towson, MD)

1. Introduction. Despite the rich history of research on the gaps in the sequence of prime numbers, including many recent breakthroughs, the magnitudes of the largest gaps in this sequence are still poorly understood. Denote by p_1, p_2, \ldots the sequence of prime numbers; it has been known since the 2001 work of Baker, Harman, and Pintz [2] that

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll p_n^{0.525}$$
.

Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis gives a small improvement. Cramér [4] shows

$$p_n - p_{n-1} \ll \sqrt{p_n} \log p_n.$$

However, he conjectures [5] that the bound $p_n - p_{n-1} \ll \log^2 p_n$ gives the true order of magnitude of the largest gaps. As for lower bounds, it follows immediately from the prime number theorem that there must exist gaps where $p_n - p_{n-1} \ge \log p_n$. This can be improved upon slightly. It has recently been shown by Ford, Green, Konyagin, Maynard and Tao [9] that, for some positive constant c, the inequality

$$p_n - p_{n-1} > \frac{c \log p_n \log \log p_n \log_4 p_n}{\log_3 p_n}$$

holds infinitely often, improving on the previous result of Rankin [16] which included an additional triple log factor in the denominator. Here, and throughout the paper, $\log_i x$ will denote the *i*-fold iterated logarithm when $i \geq 3$. Since $\log \log x$ is commonly used, it will be kept for readability when i = 2.

Generalizing from the set of primes, one can consider any primitive set of integers. We say a set is *primitive* if no integer in the set divides another

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11N25; Secondary 11B05. Key words and phrases: primitive sets, geometric progression free sets, large gaps Received 21 September 2018; revised 25 January 2019. Published online *.

integer in the set. The study of primitive sets also has a rich history. For example, it is known that such sets can have counting function substantially larger than the prime numbers. Ahlswede, Khachatrian, and Sárközy [1] showed there exists a primitive sequence $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots$ with

$$n \simeq \frac{s_n}{\log\log s_n(\log_3 s_n)^{1+\epsilon}}$$

for sufficiently large n. Martin and Pomerance [12] show that this can be improved slightly, in fact there exists such a sequence where

$$n \simeq \frac{s_n}{\log\log s_n \log_3 s_n \cdots \log_k s_n (\log_{k+1} s_n)^{1+\epsilon}}$$

for sufficiently large n and any $k \geq 2$. This is, in a sense, best possible, as Erdős [6] shows that any primitive sequence s_1, s_2, \ldots must satisfy

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s_n \log s_n} < \infty.$$

Compared to the sequence of prime numbers, where the average gap grows like $\log x$, we see from these results that primitive sets can have substantially smaller gaps on average, on the order of $\log \log x \log_3 x \cdots \log_k x (\log_{k+1} x)^{1+\epsilon}$ for any $k \geq 2$. Nevertheless, it has not yet been possible to show that the largest gap among these sequences is any smaller than what is known for the prime numbers.

We show here that there exist primitive sequences in which the gap between consecutive terms is substantially smaller than has been previously shown for the primes or any other primitive sequence. In particular, we get the following upper bound.

THEOREM 1.1. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a primitive sequence $q_1 < q_2 < \cdots$ of integers in which the gap between any two consecutive terms is bounded above by

$$(1) q_n - q_{n-1} \le \exp\left(\sqrt{2\log q_n \log\log q_n + (2+\epsilon)\log q_n \log_3 q_n}\right).$$

The proof utilizes the probabilistic method, and so it is not constructive. It generalizes, however, to the related notion of geometric-progression-free sets, where the analogous problem has recently attracted attention.

If r > 1 is rational (sometimes we insist it be integral), then a geometric progression of length k with ratio r is a progression (g_1, \ldots, g_k) of integers in which $g_i = rg_{i-1}$. We say S avoids geometric progressions of length k if it is not possible to find k integers from S in a geometric progression. Note that primitive sets can be described as sets avoiding geometric progressions of length 2 in which we insist that the ratio r must be an integer. For the remainder of the paper we will assume that our geometric progressions have

length at least 3, and, unless otherwise stated, are allowed to have rational ratio.

Geometric-progression-free sets, unlike primitive sets, can have positive density. In particular, the squarefree numbers avoid geometric progressions and have density $6/\pi^2$, though this density is not best possible. (See [13, 14, 17] for results on the maximum density of such a set.)

Because of this it is not clear, a priori, that there cannot exist such sets in which all of the gaps are bounded above by a fixed constant. In ergodic theory a set in which every gap is bounded by a constant is known as a *syndetic* set. Beiglböck, Bergelson, Hindman and Strauss [3] first posed the question of whether there exists a syndetic set that is geometric-progression-free. This problem has become well-known as a good example of the difficulty inherent in studying problems that mix the additive and multiplicative structure of the integers, and remains open.

There has been partial progress toward this question for 2-syndetic sets (sets in which the difference between any two consecutive terms is at most 2). X. He [11] shows by a computer search that any subset of the range [1,640] containing at least one of any pair of consecutive numbers must contain 3-term geometric progressions. Recently Patil [15] shows that any sequence of integers $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots$ with $s_n - s_{n-1} \le 2$ must contain infinitely many pairs $\{a, ar^2\}$ with r an integer.

In general, one can avoid geometric progressions of length k+1 by taking the sequence of k-free numbers. Denote by $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots$ the sequence of k-free numbers; the best known bound on the gaps, due to Trifonov [18], is

$$s_n - s_{n-1} \ll s_n^{1/(2k+1)} \log s_n$$
.

Again, this is likely to be far greater than the truth.

X. He [11] considers the existence of geometric-progression-free sets with gaps provably smaller than the bounds for k-free numbers. X. He shows the following.

THEOREM 1.2 (He). For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $b_1 < b_2 < \cdots$ avoiding 6-term geometric progressions and satisfying

$$b_n - b_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} \exp\left(\left(\frac{5\log 2}{6} + \epsilon\right) \frac{\log b_n}{\log \log b_n}\right).$$

Furthermore, there exists a sequence $c_1 < c_2 < \cdots$ avoiding 5-term geometric progressions and satisfying

$$c_n - c_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} c_n^{\epsilon},$$

and a sequence $d_1 < d_2 < \cdots$ that avoids 3-term geometric progressions with integral ratio and for which

$$d_n - d_{n-1} \ll_{\epsilon} d_n^{\epsilon}.$$

The technique developed here allows us to treat 3-term geometric progressions with rational ratio and obtain a substantially smaller bound on the size of the gaps. In particular we prove the following in Section 4.

Theorem 1.3. For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence of integers $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots$, free of 3-term geometric progressions, such that

(2)
$$t_n - t_{n-1} \le \exp\left(2\sqrt{\log 2\log t_n + \frac{3+\epsilon}{2}\sqrt{\log 2\log t_n}\log\log t_n}\right).$$

2. Coprime subsets of intervals. We first prove that in any short interval we can find a relatively large subset of integers that are pairwise coprime. Using the linear sieve of Rosser and Iwaniec (see for example [10, Theorem 12.14 and Corollary 12.15]) one can sieve an interval of length y by primes up to nearly \sqrt{y} . The result can be stated as follows.

LEMMA 2.1. There exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that every interval of length c_1y with $y \ge 2$ contains at least $y/\log^2 y$ integers free of prime factors smaller than \sqrt{y} , and at most $c_2y/\log y$ such integers.

Using this we can show that the short interval [x-y,x] contains a reasonably large subset of pairwise coprime integers. Erdős and Selfridge [8] (see also [7]) prove that for sufficiently large y and any $\epsilon > 0$ any such interval has a pairwise coprime subset of size at least $y^{1/2-\epsilon}$, though their proof is not correct as written. We correct and refine the argument, using Lemma 2.1 to show the following.

Theorem 2.2. For sufficiently large y and $x \ge y + 1$, any interval [x - y, x] contains a subset of pairwise coprime integers of size at least $c_3\sqrt{y}/\log y$ for some positive constant c_3 .

Proof. Let $y' = y/c_1$, where c_1 is the constant from Lemma 2.1. That lemma then implies that the set $S \subset [x-y,x]$ consisting of integers in this interval free of prime factors smaller than $\sqrt{y'}$ contains at least $y'/\log^2 y'$ integers.

Now, let $p \geq \sqrt{y'}$ be prime, and suppose $p \mid n$ for some $n \in S$. Then n = pm where $m \in [x/p - y/p, x/p]$ (an interval of length c_1y'/p). Since n is free of prime factors smaller than $\sqrt{y'}$, m will be free of such primes as well. While we cannot sieve this shorter interval of primes as large as $\sqrt{y'}$, we can use Lemma 2.1 to sieve this interval of primes up to $\sqrt{y'/p}$, at least so long as y'/p is at least 2. Thus for each prime $\sqrt{y'} \leq p < y'/2$, the number of integers in S divisible by the prime p is at most

$$\frac{c_2 y'}{p \log(y'/p)}.$$

For those primes $y'/2 \le p < y$, we can bound the number of integers in S divisible by p trivially by $\lceil y/p \rceil = O(1)$.

We now use Turán's graph theorem to prove that a large subset of S is pairwise coprime. Construct a graph in which the vertices are the elements of S and the edges connect vertices corresponding to integers which share a prime factor. Adding together the total number of edges produced by each prime, we find that the total number of edges in the graph is at most

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sqrt{y'} \le p < y'/2} \left(\frac{c_2 y'}{p \log(y'/p)} \times \left(\frac{c_2 y'}{p \log(y'/p)} - 1 \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y'/2 \le p < y} \left\lceil \frac{y}{p} \right\rceil \left(\left\lceil \frac{y}{p} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \\
\leq \sum_{\sqrt{y'} \le p < y'/2} \frac{c_2^2 y'^2}{p^2 \log^2(y'/p)} + \sum_{y'/2 \le p < y} O(1).$$

By partial summation this expression is at most $c'y'^{3/2}/\log^3 y'$ for some constant c'.

Turán's graph theorem states that any graph with v vertices and e edges has an independent set of vertices of size at least $v^2/(v+2e)$. Applying this to our graph we find there must be an independent set of vertices (corresponding to a set of pairwise coprime integers) of size at least

$$\frac{\frac{y'^2}{\log^4 y'}}{\frac{y'}{\log^2 y'} + \frac{2c'y'^{3/2}}{\log^3 y'}} \gg \frac{\sqrt{y'}}{\log y'},$$

and the result follows.

REMARK 2.3. Note that in the construction above, the integers in the set were free of prime factors less than $\sqrt{y'}$, and thus have at most

$$\frac{\log x}{\log \sqrt{y'}} = \frac{2\log x}{\log y - \log c_1} = \frac{2\log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y}\right)$$

prime factors.

3. Primitive sets without large gaps. The above results now allow us to give a proof of Theorem 1.1 using the probabilistic method.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We construct a primitive set according to the following probabilistic construction and then show that, with high probability, the set we constructed does not have any gaps greater than the bound (1).

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. For each prime number p_i we choose a corresponding positive-integer-valued random variable X_i with distribution

$$P(X_i = n) = \frac{C_{\epsilon}}{n \log^{1+\epsilon/8}(n+2)},$$

where C_{ϵ} is chosen to normalize the distribution. (Note that the sum of these terms converges since the power on the logarithm is greater than 1. The purpose of adding 2 inside the logarithm is just to make the probability

positive when n is either 1 or 2.) We then construct the set of integers $Q = \{n \geq 2 : p_i \mid n \rightarrow \Omega(n) = X_i\}$, consisting of only those integers n for which the total number of prime factors dividing n agrees with the random variable X_i corresponding to every single one of its prime divisors, p_i .

It is readily seen that this construction always produces a primitive set, since if $a \in Q$, and $a \mid b$ with b > a, then $\Omega(a) < \Omega(b)$, but any prime dividing a also divides b, and so b cannot be in Q.

We now show that we expect every interval of size (1) to contain an element of this set. Let

(3)
$$y = \exp(\sqrt{2\log x \log \log x + (2+\epsilon) \log x \log_3 x}),$$

and consider the interval [x-y,x]. By Theorem 2.2, along with the observation of Remark 2.3, there exists a subset S of the integers in this interval such that at least $c_3\sqrt{y}/\log y$ of these integers are pairwise coprime. Furthermore, the integers in S have no more than $\frac{2\log x}{\log y} + O(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y})$ prime factors. Suppose $n \in S$; then the probability that $n \in Q$ is

$$P(n \in Q) = \prod_{p_i \mid n} P(X_i = \Omega(n)) = \prod_{p_i \mid n} \frac{C_{\epsilon}}{\Omega(n) \log^{1+\epsilon/8}(\Omega(n) + 2)}$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{C_{\epsilon}}{\left(\frac{2 \log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y}\right)\right) \log^{1+\epsilon/8}\left(\frac{2 \log x}{\log y} + O(1)\right)}\right)^{\frac{2 \log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y}\right)}$$

$$= \exp\left(\left(-\frac{2 \log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y}\right)\right)$$

$$\times \left(\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) + (1 + \epsilon/8) \log_3 x + O_{\epsilon}(1)\right)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{2 \log x}{\log y}\left(\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) + (1 + \epsilon/8) \log_3 x + O_{\epsilon}(1)\right)\right).$$

Since the elements of S are pairwise coprime, the probability that any one element of S is included in Q is independent of the probability that any other element is included. Thus the probability that no integer from the interval [x - y, x] is included in Q can be bounded as follows:

$$P([x - y, y] \cap Q = \emptyset) \le P(S \cap Q = \emptyset) = \prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in Q))$$

$$\le \prod_{n \in S} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2\log x}{\log y}\left(\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) + (1 + \epsilon/8)\log_3 x + O_\epsilon(1)\right)\right)\right)$$

$$\le \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{2\log x}{\log y}\left(\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) + (1 + \epsilon/8)\log_3 x + O_\epsilon(1)\right)\right)\right)^{\frac{c_3\sqrt{y}}{\log y}}$$

$$\leq \exp\left(-\frac{c_3\sqrt{y}}{\log y}\exp\left(-\frac{2\log x}{\log y}\left(\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) + (1+\epsilon/8)\log_3 x + O_\epsilon(1)\right)\right)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\log y - \log\log y\right) - \frac{2\log x}{\log y}\left(\log\left(\frac{\log x}{\log y}\right) + (1+\epsilon/8)\log_3 x + O_\epsilon(1)\right)\right).$$

Now, upon inserting our choice (3) for the length y of the interval, the innermost exponent above becomes

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\log x(\log\log x + (1+\epsilon/2)\log_3 x)} \\ &- \frac{2\log x\left(\log\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\sqrt{\log\log x}}\right) + (1+\epsilon/8)\log_3 x + O_\epsilon(1)\right)}{\sqrt{2\log x(\log\log x + (1+\epsilon/2)\log_3 x)}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\log x}\left(\sqrt{\log\log x + (1+\epsilon/2)\log_3 x}\right. \\ &- \frac{\log\log x + (1+\epsilon/2-\epsilon/4)\log_3 x + O_\epsilon(1)}{\sqrt{\log\log x + (1+\epsilon/2)\log_3 x}}\right) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{8}\frac{\sqrt{2\log x}}{\sqrt{\log\log x}}(\log_3 x + O_\epsilon(1)). \end{split}$$

Hence the probability that none of the integers from the interval [x-y,x] are included in Q, which is less than the probability that no integer in S is in Q since $S \subset [x-y,x]$, is at most $\exp\left(-\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon}{8} \frac{\sqrt{2\log x}}{\sqrt{\log\log x}}(\log_3 x + O_{\epsilon}(1))\right)\right)$. Using linearity of expectation, and by starting the sequence at a sufficiently high initial value N, we can ensure that the expected number of intervals of the form [x-y,x] which do not contain an integer in Q is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x-y,x] \cap Q = \emptyset)$$

$$\leq \sum_{x>N} \exp\left(-\exp\left(\frac{\epsilon\sqrt{2\log x}}{8\sqrt{\log\log x}}(\log_3 x + O_{\epsilon}(1))\right)\right) < 1$$

since this series converges.

Because the expected number of intervals that do not contain an integer in Q is less than 1, there must exist a sequence Q which intersects every such interval, and thus satisfies the properties of the theorem. \blacksquare

4. Geometric-progression-free sets without large gaps. A very similar construction can be used to prove Theorem 1.3, producing a set free of 3-term geometric progressions with gaps smaller than those obtained by He.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Following the method of proof of Theorem 1.1, we construct a set similar to the squarefree numbers, in the sense that each prime

number is only allowed to appear (if it appears at all) to one fixed power in any element of the set. As before, we construct this set probabilistically and then bound the probability that it omits any interval of the size given in (2).

For each prime p_i choose a positive-integer-valued random variable X_i with distribution

$$P(X_i = n) = 1/2^n.$$

Now construct the set of integers $T = \{n \geq 2 : p_i \mid n \to p_i^{X_i} \mid n\}$ consisting of those integers n for which the exponent on each of the prime divisors p_i is equal to the random variable X_i . (If p_i divides n then $p_i^{X_i}$ is the largest power of p_i that divides n.)

This set T is free of 3-term geometric progressions of integers for essentially the same reason that the squarefree integers avoid such progressions. If $\{a, ar, ar^2\}$ is any geometric progression with $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, r > 1 and p divides the numerator of r but not the denominator, then p appears to different, positive, powers in ar and ar^2 , and hence both cannot be in T.

We now show that we expect every interval of size (2) to contain an element of this set. Let

(4)
$$y = \exp\left(2\sqrt{\log 2\log x + \frac{3+\epsilon}{2}}\sqrt{\log 2\log x}\log\log x\right)$$

and consider the interval [x-y,x]. We again use Theorem 2.2 to obtain a pairwise coprime subset S of this interval of size at least $c_3\sqrt{y}/\log y$ consisting of integers having at most $\frac{2\log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y}\right)$ prime factors. The probability that an integer n from this set is contained in T is

$$P(n \in T) = \prod_{p_i^{\alpha}||n} P(X_i = \alpha) = \prod_{p_i^{\alpha}||n} \frac{1}{2^{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\Omega(n)} \ge \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{2\log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y}\right)}$$
$$= \exp\left(-\left(\frac{2\log 2\log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y}\right)\right)\right).$$

Exploiting the fact that elements of S are pairwise coprime, we find that the probability that no element of S is in T is

$$\begin{split} \prod_{n \in S} (1 - P(n \in T)) &\leq \prod_{n \in S} \left(1 - \exp \left(-\left(\frac{2\log 2\log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \left(1 - \exp \left(-\left(\frac{2\log 2\log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y} \right) \right) \right) \right)^{\frac{c_3 \sqrt{y}}{\log y}} \\ &\leq \exp \left(-\frac{c_3 \sqrt{y}}{\log y} \exp \left(-\left(\frac{2\log 2\log x}{\log y} + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log^2 y} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(-\exp \left(\frac{1}{2}\log y - \frac{2\log 2\log x}{\log y} - \log\log y + O(1) \right) \right). \end{split}$$

Inserting (4) here for y we see that the innermost exponent above becomes

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{\log 2 \log x + \frac{3+\epsilon}{2}} \sqrt{\log 2 \log x} \log \log x \\ &- \frac{\log 2 \log x}{\sqrt{\log 2 \log x + \frac{3+\epsilon}{2}} \sqrt{\log 2 \log x} \log \log x} - \frac{1}{2} \log \log x + O(1) \\ &= \sqrt{\log 2 \log x} \Biggl(\sqrt{1 + \frac{(3+\epsilon) \log \log x}{2\sqrt{\log 2 \log x}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{(3+\epsilon) \log \log x}{2\sqrt{\log 2 \log x}}}} \Biggr) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \log \log x + O(1) \\ &= \sqrt{\log 2 \log x} \Biggl(\frac{(3+\epsilon) \log \log x}{2\sqrt{\log 2 \log x}} + O\Biggl(\frac{(\log \log x)^2}{\log x} \Biggr) \Biggr) - \frac{1}{2} \log \log x + O(1) \\ &= (1+\epsilon/2) \log \log x + O(1). \end{split}$$

The fourth line above was obtained using the Taylor expansion

$$\sqrt{1+x} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} = x + O(x^2)$$

around x = 0.

Therefore, the probability that no such integer is included in T is at most $\exp(-\exp((1+\epsilon/2)\log\log x + O(1)))$. As before, by linearity of expectation, we may choose a sufficiently high initial value N so that the expected number of intervals of the form [x-y,x] which do not contain an integer in T is at most

$$\sum_{x>N} P([x-y,x] \cap T = \emptyset)$$

$$\leq \sum_{x>N} \exp(-\exp((1+\epsilon/2)\log\log x + O(1))) < 1$$

since this series is convergent. Thus there exists a geometric-progression-free sequence T satisfying the properties of the theorem. \blacksquare

5. Final remarks. While we were able to show that there exist primitive sets in which the gap between consecutive terms is much smaller than what is known to be true, even conditionally for the primes, the method developed does not seem to generalize to sequences of pairwise coprime integers.

QUESTION 5.1. Can one prove that there exists a sequence $v_1 < v_2 < \cdots$ of pairwise coprime integers in which the difference $v_n - v_{n-1}$ between consecutive terms is smaller than the best known upper bound for the gaps between primes?

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Angel Kumchev, Greg Martin and Carl Pomerance for helpful discussions during the development of this paper, and to the anonymous referee for useful feedback.

References

- [1] R. Ahlswede, L. H. Khachatrian, and A. Sárközy, On the counting function of primitive sets of integers, J. Number Theory 79 (1999), 330–344.
- [2] R. C. Baker, G. Harman, and J. Pintz, The difference between consecutive primes. II, Proc. London Math. Soc. 83 (2001), 532–562.
- [3] M. Beiglböck, V. Bergelson, N. Hindman, and D. Strauss, Multiplicative structures in additively large sets, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 113 (2006), 1219–1242.
- [4] H. Cramér, Some theorems concerning prime numbers, Ark. Mat. Astr. Fys. 15 (1921), art. 5, 33 pp.
- [5] H. Cramér, On the order of magnitude of the difference between consecutive prime numbers, Acta Arith. 2 (1936), 23–46.
- [6] P. Erdős, Note on sequences of integers no one of which is divisible by any other, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), 126–128.
- [7] P. Erdős and I. Richards, Density functions for prime and relatively prime numbers, Monatsh. Math. 83 (1977), 99–112.
- [8] P. Erdős and J. L. Selfridge, Complete prime subsets of consecutive integers, in: Proc. Manitoba Conf. on Numerical Mathematics (Winnipeg, MB, 1971), Dept. Comput. Sci., Univ. of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, 1971, 1–14.
- [9] K. Ford, B. Green, S. Konyagin, J. Maynard, and T. Tao, Long gaps between primes, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (2018), 65–105.
- [10] J. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, Opera de cribro, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 57, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [11] X. He, Geometric progression-free sequences with small gaps, J. Number Theory 151 (2015), 197-210.
- [12] G. Martin and C. Pomerance, Primitive sets with large counting functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen 79 (2011), 521–530.
- [13] N. McNew, On sets of integers which contain no three terms in geometric progression, Math. Comp. 84 (2015), 2893–2910.
- [14] M. B. Nathanson and K. O'Bryant, On sequences without geometric progressions, Integers 13 (2013), art. A73, 5 pp.
- [15] B. R. Patil, Geometric progressions in syndetic sets, Arch. Math. (Basel) 113 (2019), 157 - 168.
- [16] R. A. Rankin, The difference between consecutive prime numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 11 (1936), 242–245.
- [17] R. A. Rankin, Sets of integers containing not more than a given number of terms in arithmetical progression, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 65 (1961), 332–344.
- [18] O. Trifonov, On gaps between k-free numbers, J. Number Theory 55 (1995), 46–59.

Nathan McNew Department of Mathematics Towson University 8000 York Road Towson, MD 21252, U.S.A.

E-mail: nmcnew@towson.edu

Abstract (will appear on the journal's web site only)

We prove the existence of primitive sets (sets of integers in which no element divides another) in which the gap between any two consecutive terms is substantially smaller than the best known upper bound for the gaps in the sequence of prime numbers. The proof uses the probabilistic method. Using the same techniques we improve the bounds obtained by He for gaps in geometric-progression-free sets.