Efficient Realization of Nonzero Spetra by Polynomial Matrices

Nathan McNew and Nicholas Ormes

University of Denver

November 5th, 2010

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

Realization of Nonzero Spectra

November 5th, 2010 1 / 26

3

- 1 The Nonnegative Inverse Eigenvalue Problem
- 2 The Boyle Handelman Theorem
- 3 Graphs and Polynomial Matrices
- 4 The Conjecture
- **5** Cases N=1,2,3

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

The **spectrum** of a matrix A, $sp(A) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is the set (with multiplicity) of the eigenvalues of the matrix A.

The characteristic polynomial of A is:

$$\chi_A = det(It - A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - \lambda_i)$$

The **spectrum** of a matrix A, $sp(A) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is the set (with multiplicity) of the eigenvalues of the matrix A.

The characteristic polynomial of A is:

$$\chi_{A} = det(It - A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - \lambda_{i})$$

Problem (Suleimanova, 1949)

Given an n-tuple of complex numbers $\sigma := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ when is σ the spectrum of some $n \times n$ matrix A with nonnegative entries?

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

The **spectrum** of a matrix A, $sp(A) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is the set (with multiplicity) of the eigenvalues of the matrix A.

The characteristic polynomial of A is:

$$\chi_{A} = det(It - A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - \lambda_{i})$$

Problem (Suleimanova, 1949)

Given an n-tuple of complex numbers $\sigma := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ when is σ the spectrum of some $n \times n$ matrix A with nonnegative entries?

When such a matrix A exists we say A realizes σ , and σ is realizable.

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

A matrix is **primitive** if it is a square matrix and some power of it is a matrix with strictly positive entries.

A matrix is **primitive** if it is a square matrix and some power of it is a matrix with strictly positive entries.

There are several known necessary conditions for σ to be realizable by a primitive matrix:

• $\exists \lambda_i \in \sigma$ such that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda_i > |\lambda_j| \ j \neq i$. (Due to the Perron-Frobenius Theorem. We refer to λ_i as the **Perron** eigenvalue or root.)

A matrix is **primitive** if it is a square matrix and some power of it is a matrix with strictly positive entries.

There are several known necessary conditions for σ to be realizable by a primitive matrix:

- $\exists \lambda_i \in \sigma$ such that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda_i > |\lambda_j| \ j \neq i$. (Due to the Perron-Frobenius Theorem. We refer to λ_i as the **Perron** eigenvalue or root.)
- **2** $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$ (For each complex number in σ , its complex conjugate is also in σ .)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

A matrix is **primitive** if it is a square matrix and some power of it is a matrix with strictly positive entries.

There are several known necessary conditions for σ to be realizable by a primitive matrix:

- $\exists \lambda_i \in \sigma$ such that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda_i > |\lambda_j| \ j \neq i$. (Due to the Perron-Frobenius Theorem. We refer to λ_i as the **Perron** eigenvalue or root.)
- **2** $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$ (For each complex number in σ , its complex conjugate is also in σ .)
- So The kth moment of σ, $s_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k \ge 0$. ∀k ∈ N and if $s_k > 0$ then $s_{nk} > 0$ ∀n ∈ N (since s_k would be the trace of the matrix A^k)

Example (n = 2)

Let n = 2, $\sigma = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_1 > |\lambda_2|$. Then σ is realized by the matrix:

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

Realization of Nonzero Spectra

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Example (n = 2)

Let n = 2, $\sigma = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_1 > |\lambda_2|$. Then σ is realized by the matrix:

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \end{array} \right]$$

Necessary and Sufficient conditions are known only when $n \leq 3$.

Theorem (Boyle and Handelman, 1991)

Let σ satisfy the previous necessary conditions. Then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that σ augmented by N zeros (ie $\sigma' = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n, 0, \dots, 0)$) is realizable by a primitive matrix.

Theorem (Boyle and Handelman, 1991)

Let σ satisfy the previous necessary conditions. Then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that σ augmented by N zeros (ie $\sigma' = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n, 0, \dots, 0)$) is realizable by a primitive matrix.

Given an n-tuple $\sigma := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \ \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ The Boyle Handleman theorem gives the necessary conditions for σ to be the **nonzero spectrum** of some matrix A, but the proof is not constructive, and puts no bounds on the size of this matrix.

The BH theorem and Characteristic Polynomials

Given a polynomial p(t) the Boyle Handelman theorem specifies when there exists a primitive matrix A and natural number N such that:

$$t^N p(t) = \chi_A(t) = det(It - A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - \lambda_i)$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 三日

Given a polynomial p(t) the Boyle Handelman theorem specifies when there exists a primitive matrix A and natural number N such that:

$$t^N p(t) = \chi_A(t) = det(It - A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - \lambda_i)$$

Alternatively, one can look at:

$$\chi_A^{-1}(t) = det(I - tA) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t\lambda_i).$$

This **reverse characteristic polynomial** does not change as additional zero eigenvalues are added. Thus the Boyle Handelman theorem specifies when a given polynomial is exactly the reverse characteristic polynomial of some matrix A, but puts no bound on the size of A.

Any matrix A over \mathbb{R}_+ can be treated as the adjacency matrix for some directed graph G in which the entry in position (i, j) is the weight of the edge from vertex i to vertex j.

Any matrix A over \mathbb{R}_+ can be treated as the adjacency matrix for some directed graph G in which the entry in position (i, j) is the weight of the edge from vertex i to vertex j. For example:



Any matrix A over \mathbb{R}_+ can be treated as the adjacency matrix for some directed graph G in which the entry in position (i, j) is the weight of the edge from vertex i to vertex j. For example:



G can also be represented by a polynomial matrix M(t) over $t\mathbb{R}_+[t]$.

Construction of G from M(t):

Let M(t) be an $N \times N$ matrix over $t\mathbb{R}_+[t]$.

- Assign N vertices the labels 1,2,...N.
- For each term wt^p of the polynomial in the (i,j) position of A[t], construct a path of length p from vertex i to j with p-1 new distinct vertices.
- Weight the first edge w and each additional edge 1(if p > 1.)

Construction of G from M(t):

Let M(t) be an $N \times N$ matrix over $t\mathbb{R}_+[t]$.

- Assign N vertices the labels 1,2,...N.
- For each term wt^p of the polynomial in the (i,j) position of A[t], construct a path of length p from vertex i to j with p-1 new distinct vertices.
- Solution Weight the first edge w and each additional edge 1(if p > 1.)

In constructing a polynomial matrix from a graph, the weights of consecutive edges through "unimportant" vertices are multiplied to find the term's coefficient.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5t^3 + 1.5t & 9t^3 & 0\\ \pi t^2 & 0 & 4t^2\\ 2t & 0.3t^2 + t & 3.6t \end{bmatrix}$$

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5t^3 + 1.5t & 9t^3 & 0\\ \pi t^2 & 0 & 4t^2\\ 2t & 0.3t^2 + t & 3.6t \end{bmatrix}$$

Realization of Nonzero Spectra

Example:





Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

Realization of Nonzero Spectra

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ Ξ
 ✓ Q (

 November 5th, 2010
 10 / 26

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5t^3 + 1.5t & 9t^3 & 0\\ \pi t^2 & 0 & 4t^2\\ 2t & 0.3t^2 + t & 3.6t \end{bmatrix}$$







Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

Realization of Nonzero Spectra

November 5th, 2010 10 / 26

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Example:





Example:







 $\chi_{G}(t) = t^{10} - 5.1t^{9} + 5.4t^{8} - 9t^{7} + 22.8t^{6} + (1.8 - 9\pi)t^{5} + (32.4\pi - 52)t^{4} + 6t^{3}$ $\chi_{G}^{-1}(t) = 6t^{7} + (32.4\pi - 52)t^{6} + (1.8 - 9\pi)t^{5} + 22.8t^{4} - 9t^{3} + 5.4t^{2} - 5.1t + 1$

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

November 5th, 2010 11 / 26

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Theorem

Given two Matricies A over \mathbb{R}_+ and M(t) over $t\mathbb{R}_+[t]$ that correspond to the same graph G, then:

$$\chi_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(t) = det(I - At) = det(I - M(t))$$

Proof.

Use row operations on I - At to combine rows/columns along a path, followed by expansion by minors to transform I - At into I - M(t) without changing the determinant.

A graph with nonnegative entries can be used to describe the possible trajectories of a dynamical system (Symbolic Dynamics)

A graph with nonnegative entries can be used to describe the possible trajectories of a dynamical system (Symbolic Dynamics)

In the case of a **Shift of Finite Type**, all of the information about the dynamical system is encoded in its zeta function, which corresponds to the characteristic polynomial of its graph.

A graph with nonnegative entries can be used to describe the possible trajectories of a dynamical system (Symbolic Dynamics)

In the case of a **Shift of Finite Type**, all of the information about the dynamical system is encoded in its zeta function, which corresponds to the characteristic polynomial of its graph.

When is a given polynomial the characteristic polynomial (zeta function) for some shift of finite type?

A Theorem

Theorem

Assume that $p(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 - \lambda_i t)$ where the $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_d)$ satisfy the conditions:

1 $\exists \lambda_i \in \sigma$ such that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\lambda_i > |\lambda_j| \ j \neq i$.

$$\ \, o = \bar{\sigma}$$

Then there is an $N \ge 1$ such that the power series expansion for $p(t)^{1/N}$ is of the form

$$p(t)^{1/N} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k t^k$$

where $r_k \ge 0$ for all $k \ge 1$.

We've reformulated the original, Nonnegative Inverse Eigenvalue Problem into a problem about polynomials and polynomial matrices.

We've reformulated the original, Nonnegative Inverse Eigenvalue Problem into a problem about polynomials and polynomial matrices.

The New Problem

Given a polynomial p(t) with p(0) = 1, when does there exist a polynomial matrix $A(t) \in t\mathbb{R}^+[t]$ such that

$$p(t) = det(I - A(t))$$

This problem is equivalent to the "extended" Nonnegative Inverse Eigenvalue Problem, solved by the Boyle Handelman theorem.

We've reformulated the original, Nonnegative Inverse Eigenvalue Problem into a problem about polynomials and polynomial matrices.

The New Problem

Given a polynomial p(t) with p(0) = 1, when does there exist a polynomial matrix $A(t) \in t\mathbb{R}^+[t]$ such that

$$p(t) = det(I - A(t))$$

This problem is equivalent to the "extended" Nonnegative Inverse Eigenvalue Problem, solved by the Boyle Handelman theorem.

Our goal: Reprove the Boyle Handelman theorem in a constructive way, putting some bound on the size of the polynomial matrix necessary to realize a polynomial.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Conjecture

Let p(t) be a polynomial which satisfies the condition that $\exists N \ge 1$ such that $p(t)^{1/N} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k t^k$ where $r_k \ge 0$ for all $k \ge 1$.

Then there exists an $N \times N$ polynomial matrix M[t] with all nonnegative coefficients such that det(I - M[t]) = p(t).

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Conjecture

Let p(t) be a polynomial which satisfies the condition that $\exists N \ge 1$ such that $p(t)^{1/N} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k t^k$ where $r_k \ge 0$ for all $k \ge 1$.

Then there exists an $N \times N$ polynomial matrix M[t] with all nonnegative coefficients such that det(I - M[t]) = p(t).

As a result of the previous theorems, proving this conjecture would (nearly) reprove the Boyle Handelman Theorem (with the exception of the strengthened third condition.)

Conjecture

Let p(t) be a polynomial which satisfies the condition that $\exists N \ge 1$ such that $p(t)^{1/N} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k t^k$ where $r_k \ge 0$ for all $k \ge 1$.

Then there exists an $N \times N$ polynomial matrix M[t] with all nonnegative coefficients such that det(I - M[t]) = p(t).

As a result of the previous theorems, proving this conjecture would (nearly) reprove the Boyle Handelman Theorem (with the exception of the strengthened third condition.)

Results so far: This conjecture is true for N=1,2,3.

Trivial. If $p(t)^1 = 1 - r(t)$ where r(t) has no negative coefficients then the matrix A(t) = [r(t)] suffices.

$$det(I - A(t)) = det([1 - r(t)]) = 1 - r(t) = p(t)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Suppose $p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - r(t)$ where r(t) has no negative coefficients. Then let q(t) be the polynomial that results when r(t) is truncated to some degree n (greater than or equal to the degree of p(t).)

Consider the polynomial $(1 - q(t))^2$. The first "incomplete" term has order n+1, so the first n coefficients match p(t). Let $R(t) = (1 - q(t))^2 - p(t)$. Then:

$$R(t) = \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \sum_{j+k=i} q_j q_k t^i$$

Since all q_j and q_k are nonnegative, R(t) will contain only nonnegative terms.

イロン 不通 とくほ とくま とうほ

Proof Continued(N=2).

Then construct the matrix:

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} q(t) & \frac{R(t)}{t} \\ t & q(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^2 - R(t) = p(t)$$

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

Realization of Nonzero Spectra

$p(t) = 1 - 3t - 2t^2 + 4t^3$

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

Realization of Nonzero Spectra

November 5th, 2010 20 / 26

$$p(t) = 1 - 3t - 2t^2 + 4t^3$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{3t}{2} - \frac{17t^2}{8} - \frac{19t^3}{16} - \frac{517t^4}{128} - \frac{2197t^5}{256} + \cdots$$

N=2 Example

$$p(t) = 1 - 3t - 2t^{2} + 4t^{3}$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{3t}{2} - \frac{17t^{2}}{8} - \frac{19t^{3}}{16} - \frac{517t^{4}}{128} - \frac{2197t^{5}}{256} + \cdots$$

$$q(t) = \frac{3t}{2} + \frac{17t^{2}}{8} + \frac{19t^{3}}{16}$$

$$p(t) = 1 - 3t - 2t^{2} + 4t^{3}$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{3t}{2} - \frac{17t^{2}}{8} - \frac{19t^{3}}{16} - \frac{517t^{4}}{128} - \frac{2197t^{5}}{256} + \cdots$$

$$q(t) = \frac{3t}{2} + \frac{17t^{2}}{8} + \frac{19t^{3}}{16}$$

$$(1 - q(t))^{2} = 1 - 3t - 2t^{2} + 4t^{3} + \frac{517t^{4}}{64} + \frac{323t^{5}}{64} + \frac{361t^{6}}{256}$$

$$p(t) = 1 - 3t - 2t^{2} + 4t^{3}$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{3t}{2} - \frac{17t^{2}}{8} - \frac{19t^{3}}{16} - \frac{517t^{4}}{128} - \frac{2197t^{5}}{256} + \cdots$$

$$q(t) = \frac{3t}{2} + \frac{17t^{2}}{8} + \frac{19t^{3}}{16}$$

$$(1 - q(t))^{2} = 1 - 3t - 2t^{2} + 4t^{3} + \frac{517t^{4}}{64} + \frac{323t^{5}}{64} + \frac{361t^{6}}{256}$$

$$R(t) = (1 - q(t))^{2} - p(t) = \frac{517t^{4}}{64} + \frac{323t^{5}}{64} + \frac{361t^{6}}{256}$$

$$p(t) = 1 - 3t - 2t^{2} + 4t^{3}$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{3t}{2} - \frac{17t^{2}}{8} - \frac{19t^{3}}{16} - \frac{517t^{4}}{128} - \frac{2197t^{5}}{256} + \cdots$$

$$q(t) = \frac{3t}{2} + \frac{17t^{2}}{8} + \frac{19t^{3}}{16}$$

$$(1 - q(t))^{2} = 1 - 3t - 2t^{2} + 4t^{3} + \frac{517t^{4}}{64} + \frac{323t^{5}}{64} + \frac{361t^{6}}{256}$$

$$R(t) = (1 - q(t))^{2} - p(t) = \frac{517t^{4}}{64} + \frac{323t^{5}}{64} + \frac{361t^{6}}{256}$$

$$A(t) = \begin{bmatrix} (\frac{3t}{2} + \frac{17t^{2}}{8} + \frac{19t^{3}}{16}) & (\frac{517t^{3}}{64} + \frac{323t^{4}}{64} + \frac{361t^{5}}{256}) \\ (t) & (\frac{3t}{2} + \frac{17t^{2}}{8} + \frac{19t^{3}}{16}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

< □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Idea:

Again, suppose $p(t)^{1/3} = 1 - r(t)$ where r(t) has no negative coefficients, let q(t) be r(t) truncated to degree n, and let s(t) be the remainder, so r(t) = q(t) + s(t).

$$\mathsf{A}(t) = \left[egin{array}{ccc} q(t) & lpha(t) & eta(t) \ 0 & q(t) & t \ t & 0 & q(t) \end{array}
ight]$$

 $det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2\alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$ This time $R(t) = (1 - q(t))^3 - p(t)$ is not strictly positive.

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$
$$p(t) = 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3$$

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

$$p(t) = 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{5t}{2} + \frac{3t^2}{8} - \frac{9t^3}{16} - \frac{189t^4}{128} - \frac{891t^5}{256} \cdots$$

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

$$p(t) = 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{5t}{2} + \frac{3t^2}{8} - \frac{9t^3}{16} - \frac{189t^4}{128} - \frac{891t^5}{256} \cdots$$

$$p(t)^{1/3} = 1 - \frac{5t}{3} - \frac{4t^2}{9} - \frac{76t^3}{81} - \frac{508t^4}{243} - \frac{3548t^5}{729} \cdots$$

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

$$p(t) = 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{5t}{2} + \frac{3t^2}{8} - \frac{9t^3}{16} - \frac{189t^4}{128} - \frac{891t^5}{256} \cdots$$

$$p(t)^{1/3} = 1 - \frac{5t}{3} - \frac{4t^2}{9} - \frac{76t^3}{81} - \frac{508t^4}{243} - \frac{3548t^5}{729} \cdots$$

$$q(t) = \frac{5t}{3} + \frac{4t^2}{9} + \frac{76t^3}{81}$$

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

November 5th, 2010 22 / 26

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

$$p(t) = 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{5t}{2} + \frac{3t^2}{8} - \frac{9t^3}{16} - \frac{189t^4}{128} - \frac{891t^5}{256} \cdots$$

$$p(t)^{1/3} = 1 - \frac{5t}{3} - \frac{4t^2}{9} - \frac{76t^3}{81} - \frac{508t^4}{243} - \frac{3548t^5}{729} \cdots$$

$$q(t) = \frac{5t}{3} + \frac{4t^2}{9} + \frac{76t^3}{81}$$

$$(1 - q(t))^3 =$$

$$1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3 + \frac{508t^4}{81} - \frac{1532t^5}{243} - \frac{3536t^6}{2187} - \frac{32528t^7}{6561} - \frac{23104t^8}{19683} - \frac{438976t^9}{531441}$$

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

$$p(t) = 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{5t}{2} + \frac{3t^2}{8} - \frac{9t^3}{16} - \frac{189t^4}{128} - \frac{891t^5}{256} \cdots$$

$$p(t)^{1/3} = 1 - \frac{5t}{3} - \frac{4t^2}{9} - \frac{76t^3}{81} - \frac{508t^4}{243} - \frac{3548t^5}{729} \cdots$$

$$q(t) = \frac{5t}{3} + \frac{4t^2}{9} + \frac{76t^3}{81}$$

$$(1 - q(t))^3 =$$

$$1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3 + \frac{508t^4}{81} - \frac{1532t^5}{243} - \frac{3536t^6}{2187} - \frac{32528t^7}{6561} - \frac{23104t^8}{19683} - \frac{438976t^6}{531441}$$

$$(1 - q(t))^3 - \frac{508t^4}{81}(1 - q(t))$$

$$= 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3 + \frac{112t^5}{27} + \frac{2560t^6}{2187} + \frac{6080t^7}{6561} - \frac{23104t^8}{19683} - \frac{438976t^6}{531441}$$

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

$$p(t) = 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3$$

$$p(t)^{1/2} = 1 - \frac{5t}{2} + \frac{3t^2}{8} - \frac{9t^3}{16} - \frac{189t^4}{128} - \frac{891t^5}{256} \cdots$$

$$p(t)^{1/3} = 1 - \frac{5t}{3} - \frac{4t^2}{9} - \frac{76t^3}{81} - \frac{508t^4}{243} - \frac{3548t^5}{729} \cdots$$

$$q(t) = \frac{5t}{3} + \frac{4t^2}{9} + \frac{76t^3}{81}$$

$$(1 - q(t))^3 =$$

$$1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3 + \frac{508t^4}{81} - \frac{1532t^5}{243} - \frac{3536t^6}{2187} - \frac{32528t^7}{6561} - \frac{23104t^8}{19683} - \frac{438976t^9}{531441}$$

$$(1 - q(t))^3 - \frac{508t^4}{81} (1 - q(t))$$

$$= 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3 + \frac{112t^5}{27} + \frac{2560t^6}{2187} + \frac{6080t^7}{6561} - \frac{23104t^8}{19683} - \frac{438976t^9}{531441}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$(1 - q(t))^3 - (\frac{508t^4}{81} + \frac{112t^5}{27} + \frac{17680t^6}{2187})(1 - q(t))$$

$$= 1 - 5t + 7t^2 - 3t^3 + \frac{106576t^7}{2187} + \frac{41408t^8}{6561} + \frac{3592064t^9}{531441}$$

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

 $R(t) = (1 - q(t))^3 - p(t)$ is not strictly positive, but its first term is.

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

 $R(t) = (1 - q(t))^3 - p(t)$ is not strictly positive, but its first term is. By including this term in our $\beta(t)$ we can make higher order terms more positive.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

 $R(t) = (1 - q(t))^3 - p(t)$ is not strictly positive, but its first term is. By including this term in our $\beta(t)$ we can make higher order terms more positive.

An algorithm:

By repeatedly taking the lowest "remainder" term, construct:

$$b(t) = \sum_{i=M+1}^{3n} b_i t^i$$

such that $p(t) - (1 - q(t))^3 - b(t)(1 - q(t))$ has coefficient 0 for all terms with degree 3n or less.

We can calculate the coefficients of *b*:

$$b_m = 3[s(t)(1 - q(t) - s(t))]_m = 3\left[r_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} r_i r_m - i\right]$$

We can calculate the coefficients of *b*:

$$b_m = 3[s(t)(1-q(t)-s(t))]_m = 3\left[r_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} r_i r_m - i\right]$$

Proposition

There exists M such that if we truncate r(t) to order $n \ge M$, the polynomial b(t) has no negative coefficients.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

We can calculate the coefficients of *b*:

$$b_m = 3[s(t)(1-q(t)-s(t))]_m = 3\left[r_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} r_i r_m - i\right]$$

Proposition

There exists M such that if we truncate r(t) to order $n \ge M$, the polynomial b(t) has no negative coefficients.

Proof.

Lots of careful approximations of binomial coefficients.

Nathan McNew (University of Denver)

Realization of Nonzero Spectra

November 5th, 2010 24 / 26

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Choose n such that the proposition holds, let q(t) be the power series of p(t) to degree n, and construct b(t) as before.

Choose n such that the proposition holds, let q(t) be the power series of p(t) to degree n, and construct b(t) as before. Let $\beta(t) = \frac{b(t)}{t}$

Choose n such that the proposition holds, let q(t) be the power series of p(t) to degree n, and construct b(t) as before. Let $\beta(t) = \frac{b(t)}{t}$

$$\alpha(t) = (p(t) - (1 - q(t))^3 - b(t)(1 - q(t)))/t^2$$

 $\alpha(t)$ has no negative terms since it is just "leftover" terms of b(t)q(t).

Choose n such that the proposition holds, let q(t) be the power series of p(t) to degree n, and construct b(t) as before. Let $\beta(t) = \frac{b(t)}{t}$

$$\alpha(t) = (p(t) - (1 - q(t))^3 - b(t)(1 - q(t)))/t^2$$

 $\alpha(t)$ has no negative terms since it is just "leftover" terms of b(t)q(t).

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(t) = \left[egin{array}{ccc} q(t) & lpha(t) & eta(t) \\ 0 & q(t) & t \\ t & 0 & q(t) \end{array}
ight] \end{aligned}$$

$$det(I - A(t)) = (1 - q(t))^3 - t^2 \alpha(t) + t\beta(t)(1 - q(t))$$

= $(1 - q(t))^3 - (p(t) - (1 - q(t))^3 - b(t)(1 - q(t)))$
+ $b(t)(1 - q(t)) = p(t)$

- *N* ≥ 4
- General proof
- Establish bounds on the degrees of polynomials used in the polynomial matrix.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日